UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

IN RE: Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Products Liability Litigation	MDL No. 15-2666 (JNE/FLN)		
This Document Relates to All Actions. PLAINTIFF(S)	AMENDED EXHIBIT B TO PRETRIAL ORDER #8 – FIRST AMENDED MASTER SHORT FORM COMPLAINT AND JURY TRIAL DEMAND		
VS.			
3M COMPANY AND ARIZANT HEALTHCARE, INC.			
15-2666, entitled In Re: Bair Hugger Forced	ates and brings this civil action in MDL No. d Air Warming Products Liability Litigation. aplaint as permitted by Pretrial Order #8 of this		
Court.	r was really		
PARTIES, JURISDIO	CTION AND VENUE		
2. Plaintiff,, i			
and claims damages as set for	orth below.		
3. Plaintiff Spouse,	, is a resident and citizen of the State of		
, and claims damages as s	set forth below. [Cross out Spousal Claim if		
not applicable.]			
4. Jurisdiction is proper based upon	Jurisdiction is proper based upon diversity of Citizenship.		
5. Proper Venue: The District Cou	Proper Venue: The District Court in which remand trial is proper and where		

this Complaint wo	build have been filed absent the direct filing order by this Court is
6. Plain	tiff brings this action [check the applicable designation]:
	On behalf of [himself/herself];
	In a representative capacity as the of the
	having been duly appointed as the
	by theCourt of
	A copy of the Letters of Administration for a wrongful death claim is
	annexed hereto if such letters are required for the commencement of
	such a claim by the Probate, Surrogate or other appropriate court of the
	jurisdiction of the decedent.
	[Cross out if not applicable.]
	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS
7. On or	r about, Plaintiff underwent surgery during which
the Bair Hugger Fo	orced Air Warming system (hereinafter õBair Huggerö) was used during
the course and scop	be of [his/her][type of surgery] at the
	[medical center and address], in
	[city and state], by Dr
8. Conta	aminants introduced into Plaintiff øs open surgical wound as a direct and
proximate result of	use of the Bair Hugger during the subject surgery resulted in Plaintiff
developing a perip	rosthetic joint infection (õPJIö), also known as a deep joint infection
(õDJIö). The path	ogen identified was (<i>if known</i>).
9. As a	result of Plaintifføs infection caused by the Bair Hugger, Plaintiff has
	2

undergone _		[Describe treatment(s) received, e.g.,
revision arth	roplasty, wo	und vac treatment, multiple staged procedures, etc.] on or about
	, at	[medical center(s) and
address(es)]	by Dr(s)	[Cross out if not applicable.]
		ALLEGATIONS AS TO INJURIES
10.	(a) Plainti	ff claims damages as a result of (check all that are applicable):
		INJURY TO HERSELF/HIMSELF
		INJURY TO THE PERSON REPRESENTED
		WRONGFUL DEATH
		SURVIVORSHIP ACTION
		ECONOMIC LOSS
	(b) Plain	tifføs spouse claims damages as a result of (check all that are
applic	cable): [<i>Cro</i>	oss out if not applicable.]
		LOSS OF SERVICES
		LOSS OF CONSORTIUM
11.	Defendants	, by their actions or inactions, proximately caused the injuries to
Plaintiff(s).		
DEFENI	DANT-SPEC	CIFIC ALLEGATIONS AND THEORIES OF RECOVERY
12.	The follow	ing claims and allegations are asserted by Plaintiff(s) and are herein
adopted by r	reference (ch	eck all that are applicable):
		FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION - NEGLIGENCE;
		SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION - STRICT LIABILITY;
	_	FAILURE TO WARN

DEFECTIVE DESIGN AND MANUFACTURE
 THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION 6 BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY;
 FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION- BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTBILITY LAW OF THE STATE OF;
 FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION- VIOLATION OF THE MINNESOTA PREVENTION OF CONSUMER FRAUD ACT;
 SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION 6 VIOLATION OF THE MINNESOTA DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES ACT;
 SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION- VIOLATION OF THE MINNESOTA UNLAWFUL TRADE PRACTICES ACT;
 EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION- VIOLATION OF THE MINNESOTA FALSE ADVERTISING ACT;
 NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION- CONSUMER FRAUD AND/OR UNFAIR AND DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES UNDER LAW OF THE STATE OF,,
 TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION 6 NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION;
 ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION- FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION;
 TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION 6 FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT;
 THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION 6 LOSS OF CONSORTIUM; and
 FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION 6 UNJUST ENRICHMENT.

In addition to the above, Plaintiff(s) assert the following additional causes of action under applicable state law:

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1. For compensatory damages;
- 2. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
- 3. Statutory damages and relief of the state whose laws will govern this action;
- 4. Costs and expenses of this litigation;
- 5. Reasonable attorneysøfees and costs as provided by law;
- 6. Equitable relief in the nature of disgorgement;
- 7. Restitution of remedy Defendantsøunjust enrichment; and
- 8. All other relief as the Court deems necessary, just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff(s) hereby demand(s) a trial by jury as to all claims in Complaint so triable.

Dated:	
	Respectfully submitted,
	[INSERT COUNSEL SIGNATURE BLOCK]